

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	09/780,405	GOSIOR ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Gregory B Sefcheck	2662	

**All Participants:**
**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

 (1) Gregory B Sefcheck.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

 (2) Donald Tomkins, Attorney for the Applicant.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 6 October 2004
**Time:** 2:00 PM
**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1-62

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**
*See Continuation Sheet*
**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 \_\_\_\_\_  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 \_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner contacted Donald Tomkins, Attorney for the Applicant, to request an oral election to the restriction requirement. The Applicant has not been able to make a decision on the matter so the written restriction will be mailed so the Applicant may further review the issue..